Exhibit B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware corporation, and FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 04-1371 JJF

POWER INTEGRATIONS' [PROPOSED] SPECIAL VERDICT AND INTERROGATORIES TO THE JURY - VALIDITY

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

VALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '851 PATENT

Anticipation of the '851 Patent

of	f the '851 Patent is	s anticipated a	and theref	ore invalid?	any of the following claim (A "YES" answer is a is a finding for Power
In	ntegrations.)				
	Claim 1:	YES		NO _	
	Claim 4:	YES		NO _	
	. If you answered	_			m by claim below any f the '851 Patent.
_					
IDI'	TY OF POWER	INTEGRAT	'YONS' '3	66 DATEN	
				OOLAIDI	${f T}$
A	nticipation of the	e '366 Patent		OUTAIEN	Γ
					any of the following claim
3.	. Do you find by	clear and con	vincing e	vidence that	
3. of	Do you find by f the '366 Patent is	clear and con	vincing evand theref	vidence that ore invalid?	any of the following claim
3. of	Do you find by f the '366 Patent is	clear and con	vincing evand theref	vidence that ore invalid?	any of the following claim (A "YES" answer is a
3. of	Do you find by fthe '366 Patent is nding for Fairchild	clear and con	vincing evand theref	vidence that ore invalid?	any of the following claim (A "YES" answer is a

4. If you answered "YES" to question 3, indicate claim by claim below any
reference you have determined anticipates any claim of the '366 Patent.
Obviousness of the '366 Patent
5. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims
of the '366 Patent is obvious and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding
for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power
Integrations.)
Claim 9: YES NO
Claim 14: YES NO
6. If you answered "YES" to question 5, indicate claim by claim below which
reference(s) you have relied upon in determining any claim of the '366 Patent was
obvious.
·

VALIDITY OF POWER INTEGRATIONS' '876 PATENT

Anticipation of the '876 Patent

7. Do you	ı find by clear ar	na convinc	ing cvia	chec mai v	Jiaiiii i O.	
is anticipa	ted and therefore	e invalid?	(A "YE	S" answer	is a findir	ng for Fairch
A "NO" a	nswer to this que	estion is a f	finding f	or Power I	ntegration	ns.)
	YES		NO .			
8. If you	answered "YES"	" to anestic	on 7. ind	icate belov	v anv refe	erence vou h
•	d anticipates clai	-	•		v uily lole	nonce your
determine	r anticipates etai	iiii i oi tiic	0701a	tont.		
						<u></u> .
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DITY OF I	POWER INTE	GRATION	NS' '075	PATENT	•	
			NS' '075	PATENT	•	
	POWER INTEG		NS' '075	PATENT	•	
Anticipat		Patent				the '075 Pa
Anticipati	ion of the '075 I	Patent nd convinc	ing evid	ence that c	elaim 1 of	
Anticipation of the Antici	ion of the '075 I	Patent nd convince invalid?	ing evid (A "YE!	ence that c	claim 1 of is a findin	g for Fairch
Anticipation of the Antici	ion of the '075 In a find by clear and therefore and therefore asswer to this que	Patent nd convince invalid? estion is a f	ing evid (A "YES	ence that on the control of the cont	claim 1 of is a findin	g for Fairch
Anticipation of the Antici	ion of the '075 In the find by clear are ted and therefore	Patent nd convince invalid? estion is a f	ing evid (A "YES	ence that on the control of the cont	claim 1 of is a findin	g for Fairch
Anticipation of the Antici	ion of the '075 In a find by clear and therefore and therefore asswer to this que	Patent nd convince invalid? estion is a f	ing evid (A "YES finding f	ence that of S" answer I or Power I	claim 1 of is a findin ntegration	ng for Fairch
Anticipation of the second of	ion of the '075 In find by clear and therefore and therefore asswer to this quentum YES	Patent Ind convince invalid? Estion is a f	ing evid (A "YES finding f NO on 9, ind	ence that of answer I or Power I	claim 1 of is a findin ntegration	ng for Fairch
Anticipation of the second of	ion of the '075 In a find by clear and therefore and therefore asswer to this que	Patent Ind convince invalid? Estion is a f	ing evid (A "YES finding f NO on 9, ind	ence that of answer I or Power I	claim 1 of is a findin ntegration	ng for Fairch
Anticipation of the second of	ion of the '075 In find by clear and therefore and therefore asswer to this quentum YES	Patent Ind convince invalid? Estion is a f	ing evid (A "YES finding f NO on 9, ind	ence that of answer I or Power I	claim 1 of is a findin ntegration	ng for Fairch
Anticipation of the second of	ion of the '075 In find by clear and therefore and therefore asswer to this quentum YES	Patent Ind convince invalid? Estion is a f	ing evid (A "YES finding f NO on 9, ind	ence that of answer I or Power I	claim 1 of is a findin ntegration	ng for Fairch
Anticipation of the second of	ion of the '075 In find by clear and therefore and therefore asswer to this quentum YES	Patent Ind convince invalid? Estion is a f	ing evid (A "YES finding f NO on 9, ind	ence that of answer I or Power I	claim 1 of is a findin ntegration	ng for Fairch

Obviousness of the '075 Patent

11. Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims
of the '075 Patent is obvious and therefore invalid? (A "YES" answer is a finding
for Fairchild. A "NO" answer to this question is a finding for Power
Integrations.)
Claim 1: YES NO
Claim 5: YES NO
12. If you answered "YES" to question 11, indicate claim by claim below which
reference(s) you have relied upon in determining any claim of the '075 Patent was
obvious.
Was most and aim this Wardist Farms. Dated.
You must each sign this Verdict Form: Dated:
(6
(foreperson)